

PHC Biobanking Review Form

The purpose of this review form is to assist PHC/UBC-affiliated researchers in the preparation of study protocols and ethics applications. Following PHC biobanking best practices will streamline ethics, privacy and operational review of your biobank, connect you with existing PHC biobanking resources and infrastructure, and help maximize the potential positive impacts of research involving biobanking and related clinical data. We recommend reviewing this form during the planning phase of your biobank and when updating protocols. This information is required for operational approval for PHC-affiliated biobanks. Please submit completed forms to biobank@providencehealth.bc.ca and receive confirmation of receipt prior to starting your ethics application.

Principal investigator (name, email): Primary study contact (name, email), if different than above: Project/Biobank name: Current ethics #: Linked biobank ethics # (if different from current ethics #): Date form completed: Completed by:	
The PHC recommended option is highlighted below for each caresources herein can be found on the Providence Research Bio	
Number of biobanks	
☐ The project in question is the only biobank led by the PI	PHC recommended option. Pl's should endeavor to create one biobank that, if needed, accommodates multiple collection protocols (i.e., multiple REB-approved studies).
☐ The PI leads (provide #) other biobanking projects. List relevant ethics #'s: Consent form ☐ No participant consent pro	Why recommended option is not being used: ocess for this project
☐ PHC template used	PHC recommended option
https://www.providenceresearch.ca/biobank	
Other: Personal Health Information (PHI)	Why recommended option is not being used:
PHN (or equivalent) and two other identifiers collected	PHC recommended option. In the context of long-term
for each participant and stored in accordance with PHC REB	biobanking, maintaining PHI (with participant consent) is
requirements (e.g., coded list residing separately from identifiable data)	favored as to enable the most productive use of specimens in the long-term.
☐ De-identified	Why recommended option is not being used:
Anonymized	

REDCap Data capture software not used for this project		
☐ UBC FoM REDCap		PHC recommended option
https://www.providenceresearch.ca	a/biobank	
Another UBC REDCap instance. [Details:	Why recommended option is not being used:
Non-UBC instance of REDCap. De	etails:	
Non-REDCap software. Details:		
OpenSpecimen (OS) Biospecimen tracking software not used for this project		
☐ UBC FoM OS with PHC selected	as the "Health	PHC recommended option
Organization" on the OS Request Fo	rm	
https://www.providenceresearch.ca		
UBC FoM OS where PHC is not the selected on the OS Request Form. He selected:		Why recommended option is not being used:
Non-UBC FoM OS instance. Deta	ils:	
☐ Alternate specimen tracking soft Details: Specimen Storage ☐ N	tware (e.g., Excel).	
Specimens stored at PHC facilities	·	PHC recommended option
Specimens stored at the racinate	es. Eccutions.	The recommended option
Specimens stored off-site. Locat	ions:	Why recommended option is not being used:
Biopsy & Tissue Specimens Not applicable (e.g., no tissue involved or secondary specimen used)		
☐ Virtual linkage to core/block/princlinical stewardship of the Departmentaboratory Medicine	·	PHC recommended option
Request for core/block/primary	tissue to be distributed	N.B. Due to medical accreditation requirements this
to biobank.		option is rarely possible. If selected, provide contact name and email of pathologist consulted: